

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/038,844	SHAH ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	Justin I. King	<b>Art Unit</b>	2111

## All Participants:

**Status of Application:** Pending

- (1) Justin I. King (USPTO Personnel). (3) Lee Eubanks (Applicant's Representative).  
(2) Robert Manware (Applicant's Representative). (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 November 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I

#### Rejection(s) discussed:

None

#### **Claims discussed:**

12

#### Prior art documents discussed:

None

## **Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

---

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

---

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner confirms with Applicant's representatives that the new amendment is not necessarily limited to the one-to-one relationship as discussed in the previous interview. For instance, while the claim 12's amendment includes the one-to-one relationship between the transaction queue and a bridge link, it does not preclude the one-to-many relationship..